

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/816,993	04/01/2004	Kevin M. Smith	17301	2013
75	90 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
Haverstock Garrett & Roberts			TORRES, ALICIA M	
Suite 1610				
611 Olive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Louis, MO 63103			3671	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X	Application No.	Applicant(s)	m				
	10/816,993	· SMITH ET AL.	,				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alicia M Torres	3671					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, no reply within the statutory minimum iod will apply and will expire SIX (6 tute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	1 April 2004.						
·	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration	a.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b) 🗌 objecte	ed to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the atta	ached Office Action of form PTO	-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a few section for a few sections.	ents have been received ents have been received priority documents have l reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	I. I in Application No been received in this National St	tage				
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/1/04.	Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 er:	52)				

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is lack of antecedent basis for "said element" in line 11. It is unclear as to which element, the ejection element or the rear support element, is being referred to. Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: "consist" should be changed to -consists—. Appropriate correction is required.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olin, as cited by Applicant, in view of Van der Lely, as cited by Applicant.

Olin discloses a round baler of the type having a hydraulic tailgate for releasing a cylindrical bale on to an ejection element, the tailgate and the ejection element each being hydraulically operable by separate piston and cylinder units which are sequentially controlled in parallel by a common pressurized fluid source via a two-way valve sequence.

However, Olin fails to disclose an ejection element pivotally connected at its front proximate end to a rear axle or rear support element of the baler, and therefrom extending rearwardly to its distal end, the element being pivotable between a dump position and a home

Art Unit: 3671

position, and the ejection element having a length long enough to hold a dumped bale sufficiently distant from the baler to allow the tailgate to close, while short enough to negate the need to be collapsible or to otherwise require additional adjustment, as per claim 1; and

Wherein the ejection element consists essentially of a ramp member affixed to a cantilever support, which support is connected to the axle, as per claim 2; and

Wherein the ramp member, in the home position, is positioned to receive a discharged bale prior to pivoting to the dump position, as per claim 3.

Van der Lely discloses a baler including an ejection element (13) pivotally connected at its front proximate end to a rear axle or rear support element (16) of the baler, and therefrom extending rearwardly to its distal end, the element (13) being pivotable between a dump position and a home position (see lines in phantom of Figure 1), and the ejection element (13) having a length long enough to hold a dumped bale sufficiently distant from the baler to allow the tailgate (11) to close, while short enough to negate the need to be collapsible or to otherwise require additional adjustment, as per claim 1; and

Wherein the ejection element (13) consists essentially of a ramp member (15) affixed to a cantilever support (14), which support (14) is connected to the axle (16), as per claim 2; and

Wherein the ramp member (15), in the home position (shown folded against the baler in Figure 1), is positioned to receive a discharged bale prior to pivoting to the dump position, as per claim 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/816,993 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Renaud and Quartaert have been cited as of interest.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Torres whose telephone number is 703-305-6953. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at 703-308-3870.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-1113. The fax

number for this Group is 703-872-9306.

Thomas/B. Will

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 3671

AMT

September 29, 2004